KANSAS-THE LECOMPTON CONSTITUTION.

SPEECH

OF

ION. JAMES H. HAMMOND,

OF SOUTH CAROLINA.

IN THE SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES,

MARCH 4, 1858.

WASHINGTON, D. C.
BUELL & BLANCHARD, PRINTERS.
1858.

SPERCH

(1)

ON. JAMES H. HIMMOND.

OF SOUTH CARTOLINA.

THE REPORT OF THE PARTY OF THE

THE RESIDENCE

ATERNAL BURGARAN BARRAN SUTERING SURFERENCE SURFERENCE

SPEECH OF MR. HAMMOND.

The Senate, as in Committee in the Whole, | aving under consideration the bill for the adnission of the State of Kansas in the Union-Ir. HAMMOND said:

Mr. President: In the debate which ccurred in the early part of the last nonth, I understood the Senator from llinois [Mr. Douglas] to say that the uestion of the reception of the Lecompon Constitution was narrowed down to single point. That point was, whether hat Constitution embodied the will of he people of Kansas. Am I correct?

Mr. Douglas. The Senator is corect, with this qualification: I could raive the irregularity, and agree to the eception of Kansas into the Union under ne Lecompton Constitution, provided I as satisfied that it was the act and eed of that people, and embodied their There are other objections; but ne others I could overcome, if this point ere disposed of.

Mr. HAMMOND. I so understood the enator. I understood that if he could e satisfied that this Constitution emodied the will of the people of Kansas, ll other defects and irregularities could e cured by the act of Congress, and nat he himself would be willing to per-

it such an act to be passed.

Now, sir, the only question is, how is nat will to be ascertained; and upon at point, and that only, we shall differ. a my opinion, the will of the people of

lawful Convention elected to form a Constitution, and nowhere else; and that it is unconstitutional and dangerous to seek it elsewhere. I think that the Senator fell into a fundamental error in his report dissenting from the report of the majority of the Territorial Committee, when he said that the Convention which framed this Constitution was "the creature of the Territorial Legislature;" and from that error has probably arisen all his subsequent errors on this subject. How can it be possible that a Convention should be the creature of a Territorial Legislature? The Convention was an assembly of the people in their highest sovereign capacity, about to perform their highest possible act of sovereignty. The Territorial Legislature is a mere provisional Government; a petty corporation, appointed and paid by the Congress of the United States, without a particle of sovereign power. Shall that interfere with a sovereigntyinchoate, but still a sovereignty? Why, Congress cannot interfere; Congress cannot confer on the Territorial Legislature the power to interfere. Congress is not sovereign. Congress has sovereign powers, but no sovereignty. Congress has no power to act outside of the limitations of the Constitution; no right to carry into effect the supreme will of any people, and, therefore, Congress is not sovereign. Nor does Conlansas is to be sought in the act of her gress hold the sovereignty of Kansas.

The sovereignty of Kansas resides, if it resides anywhere, with the sovereign States of this Union. They have conferred upon Congress, among other powers, the anthority of administering such sovereignty to their satisfaction. have given Congress the power to make needful rules and regulations regarding the Territories, and they have given Congress power to admit a State—"admit," not create. Under these two powers, Congress may first establish a provisional Territorial Government merely for municipal purposes; and when a State has grown into rightful sovereignty, when that sovereignty which has been kept in abeyance demands recognition, when a community is formed there, a social compact created, a sovereignty born as it were upon the soil, then Congress is gifted with the power to acknowledge it, and the Legislature, only by mere usage, sometimes neglected, assists at the birth of it by passing a precedent resolution assembling a Convention.

But when that Convention assembles to form a Constitution, it assembles in the highest known capacity of a people, and has no superior in this Government but a State sovereignty; or rather the State sovereignties of all the States alone can do anything with the act of that Convention. Then if that Convention was lawful, if there is no objection to the Convention itself, there can be no objection to the action of the Convention; and there is no power on earth that has a right to inquire, outside of its acts, whether the Convention represented the will of the people of Kansas or not; for a Convention of the people is, according to the theory of our Government, for all the purposes for which the people elected it, THE PEOPLE, bona fide, being the only way in which all the people can assemble and act together. I do not doubt that there might be some cases of such gross and palpable frauds committed in the formation of a Convention, as might authorize Congress to investigate them, but I can scarcely conceive of any. And when a State Kansas, raised a cry of "popular sov knocks at the door for admission, Con-

gress can with propriety do little mor than inquire if her Constitution is re publican. That it embodies the will of her people must necessarily be take for granted, if it is their lawful act. am assuming, of course, that her bound aries are settled, and her populatio sufficient.

If what I have said be correct, the the will of the people of Kansas is to b found in the action of her Constitutions Convention. It is immaterial whether it is the will of a majority of the peopl The Conver of Kansas now, or not. tion was, or might have been, electe by a majority of the people of Kansas A Convention, elected in April, ma well frame a Constitution that would not be agreeable to a majority of the people of a new State, rapidly filling up in the succeeding January; and if Leg islatures are to be allowed to put t vote the acts of a Convention, and hav them annulled by a subsequent influx of immigrants, there is no finality. If yo were to send back the Lecompton Con stitution, and another was to be framed in the slow way in which we do publi business in this country, before it would reach Congress and be passed, perhap the majority would be turned the other way. Whenever you go outside of the regular forms of law and Constitution to seek for the will of the people, yo are wandering in a wilderness—a wilder ness of thorns.

If this was a minority Constitution, do not know that that would be an ol jection to it. Constitutions are mad for minorities. Perhaps minorities ough to have the right to make Constitutions for they are administered by majorities The Constitution of this Government was made by a minority, and as late a 1840 a minority had it in their hands and could have altered or abolished it for, in 1840, six out of the twenty-si States of the Union held the numerica majority.

The Senator from Illinois has, upo his view of the Lecompton Constitution and the present situation of affairs i ereignty." The Senator from New Yor

[Mr. Seward] yesterday made himself facetious about it, and called it "squatter sovereignty." There is a popular sovereignty which is the basis of our Government, and I am unwilling that the Senator should have the advantage of confounding it with "squatter sovereignty." In all countries and in all time, it is well understood that the numerical majority of the people could, if they chose, exercise the sovereignty of the country; but for want of intelligence, and for want of leaders, they have never yet been able successfully to combine and form a stable popular Government. They have often attempted it, but it has always turned out, instead of a popular sovereignty, a populace sovereignty; and demagogues, placing themselves upon the movement, have invariably led them into military despotism.

I think that the popular sovereignty which the Senator from Illinois would lerive from the acts of his Territorial Legislature, and from the information eceived from partisans and partisan oresses, would lead us directly into populace, and not popular sovereignty. Genuine popular sovereignty never exsted on a firm basis except in this counry. The first gun of the Revolution innounced a new organization of it, which was embodied in the Declaration of Independence, developed, elaborated, and inaugurated forever in the Constiution of the United States. The two of it were Representation and he Ballot-box. In distributing their overeign powers among the various departments of the Government, the peoole retained for themselves the single bower of the ballot-box; and a great lower it was. Through that they were ible to control all the departments of he Government. It was not for the beople to exercise political power in deail; it was not for them to be annoyed vith the cares of Government; but, rom time to time, through the ballotbox, to exert their sovereign power and control the whole organization. opular sovereignty, the popular soverignty of a legal constitutional ballotbox; and when spoken through that box, the "voice of the people," for all political purposes, "is the voice of God; " but when it is heard outside of that, it is the voice of a demon, the

tocsin of the reign of terror. In passing, I omitted to answer a question that the Senator from Illinois has, I believe, repeatedly asked; and that is, what were the legal powers of the Territorial Legislature after the formation and adoption of the Lecompton Constitution? That had nothing to do with the Territorial Legislature, which was a provisional Government, almost without power, appointed and paid by this Government. The Lecompton Constitution was the act of a people, and the sovereign act of a people. They moved in different spheres and on different planes, and could not come in contact at all without usurpation, on the It was not comone part or the other. petent for the Lecompton Constitution to overturn the Territorial Government, and set up a Government in place of it, because that Constitution, until acknowledged by Congress, was nothing; it was not in force anywhere. It could well require the people of Kansas to pass upon it, or any portion of it; it could do whatever was necessary to perfect that Constitution, but nothing beyond that, until Congress had agreed to accept it. In the mean time, the Territorial Government, always a Government ad interim, was entitled to exercise all the sway over the Territory that it ever had been entitled to. The error of assuming, as the Senator did, that the Convention was the creature of the Territorial Government, has led him into the difficulty and confusion of connecting these two Governments together. is no power to govern in the Convention, until after the adoption by Congress of its Constitution.

If the Senator from Illinois, whom I regard as the Ajax Telamon of this debate, does not press the question of frauds, I shall have little or nothing to say about that. The whole history of Kansas is a disgusting one, from the beginning to the end. I have avoided

reading it as much as I could. Had I been a Senator before, I should have felt it my duty, perhaps, to have done so; but not expecting to be one, I am ignorant, fortunately, in a great measure, of details; and I was glad to hear the acknowledgment of the Senator from Illinois, since it excuses me from the

duty of examining them.

I hear, on the other side of the Chamber, a great deal said about "gigantic and stupendous frauds;" and the Senator from New York, yesterday, in portraying the character of his party and the opposite one, laid the whole of those frauds upon the Pro-Slavery party. To listen to him, you would have supposed that the regiments of immigrants recruited in the purlieus of the great cities of the North, and sent out, armed and equipped with Sharpe's rifles and bowie knives and revolvers, to conquer freedom for Kansas, stood by, meek saints, innocent as doves, and harmless as lambs brought up to the sacrifice. General Lane's lambs! They remind one of the famous "lambs" of Colonel Kirke, to whom they have a strong family resemblance. I presume that there were frauds; and that, if there were frauds, they were equally great on all sides; and that any investigation into them on this floor, or by a commission, would end in nothing but disgrace to the United States.

But, sir, the true object of the discussion on the other side of the Chamber is to agitate the question of Slavery. I have very great doubts whether the leaders on the other side of the House really wish to defeat this bill. I think they would consider it a vastly greater victory to crush out the Democratic party in the North, and destroy the authors of the Kansas-Nebraska bill; and I am not sure that they have not brought about this imbroglio for the very purpose. They tell us that year after year the majority in Kansas was beaten at the polls! They have always had a majority, but they always get beaten! How could that be? It does seem, from the most reliable sources of information, that they have a majority,

and have had a majority for some time Why has not this majority come forward and taken possession of the Government and made a Free-State Constitution, and brought it here? We should all have voted for its admission cheerfully. There can be but one reason: if they had brought, as was generally supposed a the time the Kansas-Nebraska act was passed would be the case, a Free-State Constitution here, there would have been no difficulty among the Northern Democrats; they would have been sus tained by their people. The statemen made by some of them, as I understood that that act was a good Free-State act would have been verified, and the North ern Democratic party would have been sustained. But its coming here a Slave State, it is hoped, will kill that party and that is the reason they have re frained from going to the polls; that i the reason they have refrained from making it a Free State when they had the power. They intend to make it Free State as soon as they have effected their purpose of destroying the Demo cratic party at the North, and now their chief object here is to agitate Slavery For one, I am not disposed to discus that question here in any abstract form I think the time has gone by for that Our minds are all made up. I may be willing to discuss it—and that is the way it should be and must be discussed as a practical thing, as a thing that is and is to be; and to discuss its effect upon our political institutions, and as certain how long those institutions wil hold together with Slavery ineradicable

The Senator from New York entered very fairly into this field yesterday. was surprised, the other day, when he so openly said, "the battle had been fought and won." Although I knew and had long known it to be true, I was surprised to hear him say so. I though that he had been entrapped into a hastrexpression by the sharp rebukes of the Senator from New Hampshire; and was glad to learn yesterday his words had been well considered—that they meant all that I thought they meant that they meant that the South is a con-

nds to rule it. He said that it was eir intention "to take this Governent from unjust and unfaithful hands, d place it in just and faithful hands;" at it was their intention to consecrate I the Territories of the Union to free bor; and that, to effect their purposes, ey intended to reconstruct the Supreme urt.

Yesterday, the Senator said, suppose admit Kansas with the Lecompton onstitution—what guarantees are there at Congress will not again interfere th the affairs of Kansas? Meaning, I prose, that if she abolished Slavery, at guarantee there was that Congress buld not force it upon her again. cas we of the South are concerned, u have, at least, the guarantee of od faith that never has been violated. it what guarantee have we, when you ve this Government in your possesn, in all its departments, even if we bmit quietly to what the Senator exrts us to submit to—the limitation of every to its present territory, and en to the reconstruction of the Sueme Court—that you will not plunder with tariffs; that you will not bankpt us with internal improvements and unties on your exports; that you will t cramp us with navigation laws, and ner laws impeding the facilities of insportation to Southern produce? hat guarantee have we that you will t create a new bank, and concentrate the finances of this country at the orth, where already, for the want of ect trade and a proper system of nking in the South, they are ruinously icentrated? Nay, what guarantee ve we that you will not emancipate r slaves, or, at least, make the atnpt? We cannot rely on your faith len you have the power. It has been vays broken whenever pledged.

As I am disposed to see this question tled as soon as possible, and am pertly willing to have a final and conusive settlement now, after what the nator from New York has said, I inpt to bring the North and South it forever.

ered province, and that the North in-I face to face, and see what resources each of us might have in the contingency of separate organizations.

If we never acquire another foot of territory for the South, look at her. Eight hundred and fifty thousand square miles. As large as Great Britain, France, Austria, Prussia, and Spain. Is not that territory enough to make an empire that shall rule the world? the finest soil, the most delightful climate, whose staple productions none of those great countries can grow, we have three thousand miles of continental shore line, so indented with bays and crowded with islands, that, when their shore lines are added, we have twelve thousand miles. Through the heart of our country runs the great Mississippi, the father of waters, into whose bosom are poured thirty-six thousand miles of tributary streams; and beyond we have the desert prairie wastes, to protect us in our rear. Can you hem in such a territory as that? You talk of putting up a wall of fire around eight hundred and fifty thousand square miles so situated! How absurd.

But, in this territory lies the great valley of the Mississippi, now the real, and soon to be the acknowledged, seat of the empire of the world. The sway of that valley will be as great as ever the Nile knew in the earlier ages of mankind. We own the most of it. The most valuable part of it belongs to us now; and, although those who have settled above us are now opposed to us, another generation will tell a different They are ours by all the laws of nature; slave labor will go over every foot of this great valley where it will be found profitable to use it; and some of those who may not use it are soon to be united with us by such ties as will make us one and inseparable. The iron horse will soon be clattering over the sunny plains of the South, to bear the products of its upper tributaries to our Atlantic ports, as it now does through the ice-bound North. There is the great Mississispi, a bond of union made lnk it not improper that I should at- by Nature herself. She will maintain

On this fine territory, we have a pop- to one-half those in my own house, ulation four times as large as that with which these colonies separated from the mother country, and a hundred, I might say a thousand fold stronger. Our population is now sixty per cent. greater than that of the whole United States when we entered into the second war of independence. It is as large as the whole population of the United States was, ten years after the conclusion of that war, and our exports are three times as great as those of the whole United States then. Upon our musterrolls we have a million of men. defensive war, upon an emergency, every one of them would be available. any time, the South can raise, equip, and maintain in the field, a larger army than any Power of the earth can send against her, and an army of soldiers men brought up on horseback, with guns in their hands.

If we take the North, even when the two large States of Kansas and Minnesota shall be admitted, her territory will be one hundred thousand square miles less than ours. I do not speak of California and Oregon; there is no antagonism between the South and those countries, and never will be. The population of the North is fifty per cent. greater than ours. I have nothing to say in disparagement either of the soil of the North, or the people of the North, who are a brave and energetic race, full of intellect. But they produce no great staple that the South does not produce: while we produce two or three, and those the very greatest, that she can never produce. As to her men, I may be allowed to say, they have never proved themselves to be superior to those of the South, either in the field or in the Senate.

But the strength of a nation depends in a great measure upon its wealth; and the wealth of a nation, like that of a man, is to be estimated by its surplus production. You may go to your trashy census-books, full of falsehood and nonsense; they tell you, for example, that, in the State of Tennessee, the whole

such things as that. You may estim what is made throughout the coun from these census-books; but it is matter how much is made, if it is consumed. If a man possess milli of dollars, and consumes his income he rich? Is he competent to emb in any new enterprise? Can he bu ships or railroads? And could a peo in that condition build ships and roa or go to war? All the enterprises peace and war depend upon the surp productions of a people. They may happy, they may be comfortable, t may enjoy themselves in consuming w they make; but they are not rich, t are not strong. It appears, by going the reports of the Secretary of Treasury, which are authentic, t last year the United States exported round numbers \$279,000,000 worth domestic produce, excluding gold foreign merchandise re-exported. this amount, \$158,000,000 worth is clear produce of the South—artic that are not and cannot be made at North. There are, then, \$80,000, worth of exports of products of the est, provisions, and breadstuffs. If assume that the South made but c third of these, and I think that a low calculation, our exports w \$185,000,000, leaving to the North than \$95,000,000.

In addition to this, we sent to North \$30,000,000 worth of cott which is not counted in the expo We sent to her \$7,000,000 or \$8,000, worth of tobacco, which is not coun in the exports. We sent naval stor lumber, rice, and many other minor There is no doubt that we s to the North forty million dollars addition; but suppose the amount to thirty-five million dollars, it will g us a surplus production of two hund and twenty million dollars. But recorded exports of the South now greater than the whole exports of United States in any year before 18 They are greater than the whole aver exports of the United States for the number of house-servants is not equal twelve years, including the two extra

nary years of 1856 and 1857. They e nearly double the amount of the verage exports of the twelve preceding ears. If I am right in my calculations to \$220,000,000 of surplus produce, tere is not a nation on the face of the rth, with any numerous population, at can compete with us in produce er capita. It amounts to \$16.66 per ad, supposing that we have twelve illion people. England, with all her cumulated wealth, with her concenated and educated energy, makes but xteen and a half dollars of surplus proaction per head. I have not made a lculation as to the North, with her 95,000,000 surplus; admitting that le exports as much as we do, with her ghteen millions of population, it would but little over twelve dollars a head. ut she cannot export to us and abroad ceeding ten dollars a head against our kteen dollars. I know well enough at the North sends to the South a st amount of the productions of her dustry. I take it for granted that e, at least, pays us in that way for e thirty or forty million dollars worth cotton and other articles we send her. am willing to admit that she sends us nsiderably more; but to bring her up our amount of surplus production, bring her up to \$220,000,000 a ar, the South must take from her 125,000,000; and this, in addition to r share of the consumption of the 333,000,000 worth introduced into the untry from abroad, and paid for chiefly our own exports. The thing is abrd; it is impossible; it can never pear anywhere but in a book of sta-

With an export of \$220,000,000 der the present tariff, the South, ornized separately, would have forty Illion dollars of revenue. With onearth the present tariff, she would have revenue adequate to all her wants, for e South would never go to war; she buld never need an army or a navy, yond a few garrisons on the frontiers, d a few revenue cutters. It is comerce that breeds war. It is manufac-

the world, that give rise to navies and commerce. But we have nothing to do but to take off restrictions on foreign merchandise and open our ports, and the whole world will come to us to trade. They will be too glad to bring and carry for us, and we never shall dream of a war. Why, the South has never yet had a just cause of war. Every time she has drawn her sword, it has been on the point of honor; and that point of honor has been mainly loyalty to her sister Colonies and sister States, who have ever since plundered and calumniated

But if there were no other reason why we should never have war, would any sane nation make war on cotton? Without firing a gun, without drawing a sword, should they make war on us, we could bring the whole world to our feet. The South is perfectly competent to go on, one, two, or three years, without planting a seed of cotton. I believe that if she was to plant but half her cotton for three years to come, it would be an immense advantage to her. I am not so sure but that, after three total years' abstinence, she would come out stronger than ever she was before, and better prepared to enter afresh upon her great career of enterprise. What would happen if no cotton was furnished for three years? I will not stop to depict what every one can imagine, but this is certain: England would topple headlong, and carry the whole civilized world with her, save the South. No, you dare not make war on cotton. No power on earth dares to make war upon it. Cotton is king. Until lately, the Bank of England was king, but she tried to put her screws as usual, the fall before last, upon the cotton crop, and was utterly vanquished. The last power has been conquered. Who can doubt, that has looked at recent events, that cotton is When the abuse of credit supreme? had destroyed credit and annihilated confidence, when thousands of the strongest commercial houses in the world were coming down, and hundreds of millions of dollars of supposed property evapo-Ires that require to be hawked about rating in thin air, when you came to a

ened, what brought you up? Fortunately for you, it was the commencement of the cotton season, and we have poured in upon you one million six hundred thousand bales of cotton, just at the crisis, to save you from destruction. That cotton, but for the bursting of your speculative bubbles in the North, which produced the whole of this convulsion, would have brought us one hundred million dollars. We have sold it for sixty-five million dollars, and saved Thirty-five million dollars we, the slaveholders of the South, have put into the charity box for your magnificent financiers, your "cotton lords," your

"merchant princes."

But, sir, the greatest strength of the South arises from the harmony of her political and social institutions. harmony gives her a frame of society the best in the world, and an extent of political freedom, combined with entire security, such as no other people ever enjoyed upon the face of the earth. ciety precedes Government; creates it, and ought to control it; but as far as we can look back in historic times, we find the case different; for Government is no sooner created, than it becomes too strong for society, and shapes and moulds as well as controls it. In later centuries, the progress of civilization and of intelligence has made the divergence so great as to produce civil wars and revolutions; and it is nothing now but the want of harmony between Governments and Societies which occasions all the uneasiness and trouble and terror that we see abroad. It was this that brought on the American Revolution. We threw off a Government not adapted to our social system, and made one for ourselves. The question is, how far have we succeeded? The South, so far as that is concerned, is satisfied, harmonious, and prosperous.

In all social systems, there must be a class to do the menial duties, to perform the drudgery of life—that is, a class requiring but a low order of intellect and but little skill. Its requisites are vigor, docility, fidelity. Such a

dead lock, and revolutions were threat- | class you must have, or you would no have that other class which leads pro gress, civilization, and refinement. constitutes the very mud-sill of socie and of political government; and yo might as well attempt to build a hou in the air, as to build either the one the other, except on this mud-sill. Fo tunately for the South, she found a ra adapted to that purpose to her handa race inferior to her own, but em nently qualified, in temper, in vigor, docility, in capacity, to stand the cl mate, to answer all her purposes. W use them for our purpose, and call the We found them slaves by the "common consent of mankind," which according to Cicero, "lex natura est"the highest proof of what is Nature law. We are old-fashioned at the Sou yet; it is a word discarded now "ears polite;" I will not characteri that class at the North with that term but you have it; it is there; it is ever where; it is eternal.

The Senator from New York said ye terday that the whole world had abolish Slavery. Aye, the name, but not t thing; all the powers of the earth ca not abolish that. God only can do when he repeals the flat, "the poor always have with you;" for the m who lives by daily labor, and scarce lives at that, and who has to put o his labor in the market, and take t best he can get for it; in short, yo whole hireling class of manual laborer and "operatives," as you call them, a essentially slaves. The difference b tween us is, that our slaves are hir for life, and well compensated; there no starvation, no begging, no want employment, among our people; and n too much employment, either. You are hired by the day, not cared for, a scantily compensated, which may proved in the most painful manner, any hour, in any street in any of yo large towns. Why, you meet mo beggars in one day, in any single stre of the city of New York, than you wou meet in a lifetime in the whole Sout We do not think that whites should slaves, either by law or necessity. O

laves are black, of another and inferior The status in which we have They are laced them is an elevation. levated from the condition in which dod first created them, by being made ur slaves. None of that race on the shole face of the globe can be compared with the slaves of the South. They are appy, content, unaspiring, and utterly ncapable, from intellectual weakness, ver to give us any trouble by their aslirations. Yours are white, of your wn race; you are brothers of one blood. They are your equals in natural endownent of intellect, and they feel galled y their degradation. Our slaves do not ote. We give them no political power. Yours do vote, and, being the majority, hey are the depositaries of all your poitical power. If they knew the trenendous secret, that the ballot-box is tronger than "an army with banners," and could combine, where would you Your society would be reconstructed, your Government overthrown, your property divided, not as they have nistakenly attempted to initiate such proceedings by meetings in parks, with rms in their hands, but by the quiet process of the ballot-box. You have process of the ballot-box. peen making war upon us to our very nearthstones. How would you like for us to send lecturers and agitators North, to teach these people this, to aid in combining, and to lead them?

Mr. Wilson and others. Send them

along.

Mr. Hammond. You say send them along. There is no need of that. Your people are awaking. They are coming here. They are thundering at our doors for homesteads, one hundred and sixty acres of land for nothing, and Southern Senators are supporting them. Nay, they are assembling, as I have said, with arms in their hands, and demanding work at \$1,000 a year for six hours a day. Have you heard that the ghosts of Mendoza and Torquemada are stalk-

That the inquisition is at hand? There is afloat a fearful rumor that there have been consultations for Vigilance Committees. You know what that means.

Transient and temporary causes have thus far been your preservation. great West has been open to your surplus population, and your hordes of semi-barbarian immigrants, who are crowding in year by year. They make a great movement, and you call it progress. Whither? It is progress; but it is progress towards Vigilance Com-The South have sustained you in a great measure. You are our factors. You bring and carry for us. One hundred and fifty million dollars of our money passes annually through your Much of it sticks; all of it assists to keep your machinery together and in motion. Suppose we were to discharge you; suppose we were to take our business out of your hands; we should consign you to anarchy and poverty. You complain of the rule of the South; that has been another cause that has preserved you. We have kept the Government conservative to the great purposes of Government. have placed her, and kept her, upon the Constitution; and that has been the cause of your peace and prosperity. The Senator from New York says that that is about to be at an end; that you intend to take the Government from us; that it will pass from our hands. Perhaps what he says is true; it may be; but do not forget—it can never be forgotten-it is written on the brightest page of human history—that we, the slaveholders of the South, took our country in her infancy, and, after ruling her for sixty out of the seventy years of her existence, we shall surrender her to you without a stain upon her honor, boundless in prosperity, incalculable in her strength, the wonder and the admiration of the world. Time will show what you will make of her; but no time can ever ing in the streets of your great cities? diminish our glory or your responsibility.

